



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/777,510	02/06/2001	Theo T. M. Bogaert	10806-116	10806-116 8428	
24256	7590 09/25/2002				
	& SHOHL, LLP	EXAMINER			
1900 CHEME 255 EAST FIF	TH STREET	DEMILLE, DANTON D			
CINCINNATI	, OH 45202	H 45202 ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER			
			3764		
		DATE MAILED: 09/25/2002			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

		Auglio-tion No.	Applicantia	
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Office Acti n Summer		09/777,510	BOGAERT ET AL.	
	Office Acti n Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Danton DeMille	the correspondence address	
Period fo	- The MAILING DATE of this communicatio r Reply	n appears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failui - Any r earne	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATI sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicative period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by eply received by the Office later than three months after the dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reploon. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTH statute, cause the application to become ABAN	y be timely filed 30) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communic IDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ation.
Status	Responsive to communication(s) filed or	.		
1)		This action is non-final.		
2a)□	Since this application is in condition for a	-	rs prosecution as to the mer	its is
3)	closed in accordance with the practice u on of Claims	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D.	11, 453 O.G. 213.	10 10
,	Claim(s) 1-48 is/are pending in the applic	cation		
· -	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
	Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
1	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-48</u> are subject to restriction ar on Papers	nd/or election requirement.		
1	The specification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
/	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)□		Examiner.	
,	Applicant may not request that any objection			
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on			
, —	If approved, corrected drawings are required			
(12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.		1
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for f	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	1
\ a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority docu	iments have been received.		1
\	2. Certified copies of the priority docu	ments have been received in App	olication No	1
* :	3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation See the attached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		;
14) 🔲 /	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §	119(e) (to a provisional appli	cation
15)	a) The translation of the foreign language Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do			
Attachmer			(DTO 1/2) D	1
2) Noti	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper I	48) 5) Notice of Inf	ımmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) formal Patent Application (PTO-152)	ti L
U.S. Patent and	rademark Office \			J

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-34 and 48, drawn to the intraocular corrective lens, classified in class
 623, subclass 6.23.
- II. Claims 35-47, drawn to a method of selecting a suitable implantable corrective lens, classified in class 623, subclass 6.11.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions of Group I and Group II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the corrective lens apparatus claims could be selected by another materially different process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

Application/Control Number: 09/777,510

Art Unit: 3764

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

ddd 24 September, 2002 (703) 308-3713

Fax: (703) 305-3590

danton.demille@uspto.gov

Danton DeMille Primary Examiner Art Unit 3764